

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,775	01/22/2001	Osamu Fushimi	44471/252431 (13700)	8348
7590 01/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Brenda O Holmes, Kilpatrick Stockton LLP			MCCLELLAN, JAMES S	
1100 Peachtree Street Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 30309			3627	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/766,775	FUSHIMI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James S McClellan	3627
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the property 	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to sy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-25 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2001 is/are	or election requirement.	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/20/03</u>. 	6) Other:	r atent Application (FTO-192)

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-16) in the reply filed on 10/20/04 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/20/03 has been fully considered by the examiner as indicated by the attached signed PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,992,940 (hereinafter "Dworkin") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,035,283 (hereinafter "Rofrano").

Regarding **claim 1**, Dworkin discloses an electric catalog aggregation apparatus comprising: a processing unit (1) to process catalog data into a prescribed format (see at least Figure 6 and column 6, lines 16-25); a e-catalog database (3); an extraction and conversion unit (also 1); and a delivery unit (5) for delivering catalogs to users through networks (see column 4,

Application/Control Number: 09/766,775

Art Unit: 3627

lines 3-12); [claim 4] formatting data based on each product classification (see column 9, lines 6-10); and [claim 7] company code data is attached to each product (see at least column 6, lines 44-50 and column 7, lines 25-60). Independent claims 15 and 16 are rejected for reasons similar to apparatus claim 1.

Dworkin fails to explicitly disclose the use of managing "charge" data for each registered user (buyer and seller). Additionally, Dworkin fail to explicitly disclose the use of a buyer defined catalog format.

Regarding claims 2-3, the Examiner takes Official Notice that is old and well known for an intermediary to register and charge fees for brokering transactions between buyers and sellers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dworkin with registered users and charge management as is well known in the art, because intermediary provide a service to buyers and sellers and need a mechanism to profit from their service whereby charging fees to the buyers and/or sellers.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 15, and 16, Rofrano teaches the use of dynamic catalog formatting based on user preferences (see column 1, line 52-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dworkin with user defined catalog formatting as taught by Rofrano, because user defined formatting allows for better targeted sales strategies, wherein increasing the potential spending by the customer.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dworkin in view of Rofrano as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,687,710 (hereinafter "Dey").

Application/Control Number: 09/766,775

Art Unit: 3627

Regarding **claim 6**, Dworkin/Rofrano disclose all the claimed elements as set forth above but fail to disclose the use of detecting missing data from the catalog and collecting the missing data from another party.

Dey teaches the use of detecting missing data from the catalog and collecting the missing data from another party (see column 9, lines 40-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dworkin/Rofrano with missing data detection taught by Dey, because identifying and collecting missing data allows the catalog to be more complete and provide additional products and services that will lead to more potential transactions for the seller.

6. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dworkin in view of Rofrano as applied to claim 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Japanese Patent Publication 10-261016 (hereinafter "JP '016").

Regarding **claims 8-14**, Dworkin/Rofrano disclose all the claimed elements as set forth above but fail to disclose allowing the buyer to check and monitor the credit of a seller.

JP '016 (see Abstract) teaches the use of allowing the buyer to check and monitor the credit of a seller.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dworkin/Rofrano with seller credit checks taught by JP '016, because a credit check allows the buyer to fully consider the financial condition of the seller, wherein providing the buyer with the ability to determine if they want to do business with the seller.

The Examiner takes *Official Notice* that it is old and well known to charge for credit checks.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,775 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Geier, King, Greef and Matsushita are cited of interest for disclosing a e-catalog systems.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm January 6, 2005